UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,565	09/19/2005	Atsushi Ando	10517/287	9663
23838 7590 05/27/2008 KENYON & KENYON LLP 1500 K STREET N.W.			EXAMINER	
			BINDA, GREGORY JOHN	
SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/549,565	ANDO ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Greg Binda	3679		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired to the second	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 A 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 6-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 6-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on various is/are: a) and	awn from consideration. or election requirement. er.	e Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 3679

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 22, 2008 has been entered.

Drawings

- 3. The drawings are objected to because:
 - a. Reference characters R1 and R3 appear in the specification and claims, but do not appear in the drawings.
 - b. The drawings fail to show the maximum joint angle θ recited in claim 6, line 35. At best, the drawings show the joint angle at its minimum value (see "the joint angle is 0 degrees" at page 10, line 17).
- 4. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing

should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

5. Claim 6 is objected to because at line 7 the limitation, "shafts which is" should be changed to "shafts which are".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 7 is directed to a constant velocity joint having an outer joint member with both "convex curved portions [on guide grooves]" (see claim 6, line 44) and a "taper surface formed in the lateral surface of each of the guide grooves becoming closer to a plane including an axis of the

Art Unit: 3679

outer roller and an axis of the outer joint member toward each of axially both sides of the outer roller" (claim 7). No such constant velocity joint is supported in the application originally filed. Likewise, the original application fails to support the limitations of claims 8-11 in combination with the "convex curved portion" in claim 6.

- 7. Claims 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claim 6, lines 22, 23 & 35 recite the limitation, "0" which is supposed to indicate "a required maximum joint angle". However, the specification fails to teach how to determine this angle and/or its upper limit.
- 8. Claims 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - a. Claim 6, line 22 includes a meaningless mathematical equation.
 - b. Claim 6, lines 22-29 recites that each of the dependent variables W1 & W2 is measured from the center of the cylindrical surface 18a to one end of the cylindrical surface 18a. That means each of W1 & W2 must be equal to $\frac{1}{2}$ of the total length of the cylindrical surface 18a. Thus W1 = W2. However, in lines 22-29, the variables W1 and W2 are recited as having values that would not necessarily be equal to each other.

Art Unit: 3679

c. Claim 6, line 31 recites the limitation "the uppermost load concentration position". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

- d. Claim 6, lines 31 & 32 recites the limitation "the lowermost load concentration position". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- e. Claim 6, lines 31 & 32 recites the limitation "the uppermost load concentration position" and "the lowermost load concentration position". But does not identify the element(s) on which these points are taken.
- f. Claims 7, 9 & 11 recite the limitation "the taper surface formed in the lateral surface of each of the guide grooves". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greg Binda whose telephone number is (571) 272-7077. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 am to 7:00 pm with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3679

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Greg Binda/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3679